



Quality Action
Improving **HIV** Prevention in Europe

Quality Action CASE STUDY

1. Name and country of the organisation

(Please state the name and the country of the organisation that implemented this practical application of a QA/QI tool as part of Quality Action. We do not publish this information unless you agree. You can remain anonymous by adjusting the settings at the end of this form).

Swiss Aids Federation, Zurich, Switzerland

2. Authors of the case study and contact details

(Please provide then name of the author(s) of this case study and any contact names, Email address or websites where readers can access more information about this practical application of a QA/QI tool).

Andreas Lehner, andreas.lehner@aids.ch, Konradstrasse 20, CH-8005 Zürich, +41 44 447 11 26

3. External support (facilitators/partners/technical assistance)

(Please list the names of other organisations and/or people who were involved in this practical application of a QA/QI tool, e.g. project partners, technical assistance, external stakeholders etc..).

Checkpoint Zürich

Checkpoint Basel

Checkpoint Lausanne

Checkpoint Genève

Checkpoints are gay health clinics in Switzerland

4. Project/Programme and key population/target group addressed

(Please describe the project/programme to which you applied the tool and the key population/target group addressed).

Break The Chains, an annual campaign about primary infection and testing

5. Goals/aims of applying the QA/QI tool

(Please list the goals you wanted to achieve with the practical application of the tool).

Quality Assurance. Help with the evolutionary progress of the campaign with more participation.

6. Tool and methodology used

(Please indicate which of the five tools you used (Succeed, QIP, PQD, PIQA, Schiff) and briefly sketch out the steps and measures of how you applied it).

QIP. We worked with regional round-tables. We started with the campaign in January 2013 and ended after the campaign + 2 months in July 2014. The to dos on different levels:

- regional interviews and field reports in Zurich, Berne, Lausanne, Geneva
- workshops and meeting of the regional stakeholders
- workshops and meetings of the core team

7. Results and benefits of applying the QA/QI tool

(Please describe what resulted from applying the tool and if and how your project/programme benefitted).

- + more structure
- + more guidelines
- too complicated
- not easy to motivate field workers to participate

8. Recommendations

(Please describe the lessons learnt from positive and negative experiences during the process of using the tool itself and about the quality of projects/programmes like yours).

It's important to have a better web-based version for working with the tool (i.e. teamwork on the platform, different languages).

I don't think, that regional or smaller organisations will use the tool more than once ...

How we will guarantee ongoing support for organisations?

Please indicate how you want this case study to be published:

- ☒ *I want this case study to be published mentioning the names of countries, organisations, people and contact details/websites in the text above.*
- ☐ *I want this case study to be published anonymously, meaning that names of countries, organisations, people and contact details/websites in the text above will be removed by the editors before publishing.*
- ☐ *I want this case study to be published without mentioning people's names, meaning that names of people in the text above will be removed by the editors before publishing, but names of organisations and countries as well as website addresses will remain.*

*Please return the filled in document to your country contact
(who will then forward it to their WP 6 contact).*

Thank you!